

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 12877-US-PA
Application No.: 10/709,848

REMARKS

Present Status of the Application

The Office Action rejected claims 1-46 under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In addition, the Office Action rejected claims 1, 8, 12, 13, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Kumagai et al. (US 2003/0218588, herein after "Kumagai"). The Office Action rejected claims 10, 11, 22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumagai. The Office Action rejected claims 2-7, and 14-19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumagai in view of You (US 2004/0104903).

Applicants have canceled claims 1-23 and amended claims 24, 31, 32, 35, 36, 43, and 44.

Discussion of the claim rejection under 35 USC 112

The Office Action rejected claims 1-46 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In response to the Office Action, Applicants have canceled claims 1-23 and amended claims 24, 31, 32, 35, 36, 43, and 44. The claims 25-30, 33-34, 37-42, and 45-46 without "enhanced clock signal", "enhanced display data", or "enhanced control signal" are original.

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 12877-US-PA
Application No.: 10/709,848

Discussion of the claim rejection under 35 USC 102(a)

The Office Action rejected claims 1, 8, 12, 13, and 20 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as being clearly anticipated by Kumagai.

In response to the Office Action, Applicants have canceled claims 1-23.

Discussion of the claim rejection under 35 USC 103(a)

The Office Action rejected claims 10, 11, 22, and 23 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumagai, and rejected claims 2-7 and 14-19 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kumagai in view of You.

In response to the Office Action, Applicants have canceled claims 1-23.

Customer No.: 31561
Docket No.: 12877-US-PA
Application No.: 10/709,848

CONCLUSION

For at least the foregoing reasons, it is believed that all the pending claims 24-46 of the present application patently define over the prior art and are in proper condition for allowance. If the Examiner believes that a telephone conference would expedite the examination of the above-identified patent application, the Examiner is invited to call the undersigned.

Date: *March 9, 2007*

Respectfully submitted,

Belinda Lee
Belinda Lee

Registration No.: 46,863

Jianq Chyun Intellectual Property Office
7th Floor-1, No. 100
Roosevelt Road, Section 2
Taipei, 100
Taiwan
Tel: 011-886-2-2369-2800
Fax: 011-886-2-2369-7233
Email: belinda@jciigroup.com.tw
Usa@jciigroup.com.tw